H. R. ______

To amend title 28, United States Code, to prohibit the use of amounts from the Asset Forfeiture Fund for the Domestic Cannabis Suppression/Eradication Program of the Drug Enforcement Administration, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. Lieu of California (for himself and Mr. Amash) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on ______

A BILL

To amend title 28, United States Code, to prohibit the use of amounts from the Asset Forfeiture Fund for the Domestic Cannabis Suppression/Eradication Program of the Drug Enforcement Administration, and for other purposes.

1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

4 This Act may be cited as the “Stop Civil Asset For-
5 feiture Funding for Marijuana Suppression Act of 2015”.

(Original Signature of Member)
SEC. 2. RESTRICTION ON USE OF THE ASSET FORFEITURE FUND FOR THE DOMESTIC CANNABIS SUPPRESSION/ERADICATION PROGRAM.

Section 524 of title 28, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following:

“(e) Notwithstanding subsection (c) of this section, beginning in fiscal year 2015, and for each fiscal year thereafter, no amounts in the Fund may be used for the Domestic Cannabis Suppression/Eradication Program of the Drug Enforcement Administration, or any substantially similar program.”.

SEC. 3. NO AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER PROPERTY FOR PURPOSES OF THE DOMESTIC CANNABIS SUPPRESSION/ERADICATION PROGRAM.

Notwithstanding section 981(e) of title 18, United States Code, no property may be transferred to a Federal agency or a State and local agency, if that property is used for any purpose pertaining to the Domestic Cannabis Suppression/Eradication Program of the Drug Enforcement Administration, or any substantially similar program.

SEC. 4. SENSE OF CONGRESS.

It is the sense of Congress that the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) expends a large amount of resources on enforcing prohibitions on marijuana. The DEA administrator’s comments about the relative harm
of marijuana support Congress's belief that the DEA's marijuana prohibition policy is an inappropriate use of resources.